IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.470 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3028 OF 2006

Trig Guardforce Ltd., Mumbai ...Petitioner
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.471 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3072 OF 2006

Trig Detectives Pvt. Ltd. ...Petitioner
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Rajiv Morey, Advocate, i/b. Mr.R.S. Mishra, Advocate, for the Petitioner.

Mr.A.V. Kumbhakoni, AAG, with Mr.Milind More, AGP, for Respondent No.1 and in support of Notice of Motion.

Ms.Lata Desai, Advocate, i/b. Pallavi Divekar, for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : J.N. PATEL &
A.A. SAYED, JJ.

DATE : 13TH SEPTEMBER, 2007.

P.C. :

1. The learned AAG submits that he may be permitted to mention the Notices of Motion which have been moved on behalf of the State, particularly which are supported by the affidavits sworn by Mr.R.G. Bansod, Principal Secretary, Government of Maharashtra, Industries, Energy and Labour Department, seeking recall of an order imposing costs of Rs.10,000/- on the respondent-State for non-compliance of the order passed

by this Court on 12-1-2007. It is further submitted that much before, this Court has passed an order. In substance, the order was complied with but, at the relevant time, when this Court has passed an order no specific directions in the matter were received, which led the Court to impose the costs of Rs.10,000/-.

2. Taking into consideration that the respondent, particularly the Principal Secretary of the concerned Department, has tendered his apology for the lapse on his part, we are inclined to grant prayer clause (a) of both the Notices of Motion.

3. The learned AAG to get both the Notices of Motion registered in the office in due course.

4. The Notices of Motion are made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

[A.A. SAYED, J.] **[J.N. PATEL, J.]**